The Board of Trustees of the Las Vegas-Clark County Library District met in regular session in the Clark County Library, Las Vegas, Nevada, at 6:00 p.m., Thursday, October 8, 2015.

Present:  
Board:  
M. Saunders, Chair  
R. Ence  
Y. Yturralde  
S. Bilbray-Axelrod  
K. Crear  
S. Moulton  
R. Wadley-Munier  
M. Francis Drake  
F. Ortiz  
J. Melendrez  

Counsel:  
G. Welt  

Absent:  
None  

Staff:  
Dr. Ronald R. Heezen, Executive Director  
Numerous Staff  

Guests:  
Sean Coulter, Pugsley.Simpson.Coulter.Architects  

M. Saunders, Chair, called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Roll Call and Pledge of Allegiance  
(Item I.)  
All members listed above represent a quorum. Trustee Melendrez left at 7:08 p.m. Appendix A.  
Trustee Ence led attendees in the Pledge of Allegiance.  

Public Comment  
(Item II.)  
Otto Merida – spoke on Item VIII.A.

Mr. Merida stated he is speaking as the President and CEO of the Latin Chamber of Commerce, a group with over 1,000 members. He wanted to go on record supporting the approval of the building program for the East Las Vegas Library. He thanked the Trustees for supporting it at this time. Merida added that the library was long overdue and it will be so appropriate to approve it during Hispanic Heritage Month.

Trustee Saunders thanked Mr. Merida for his and the Latin Chamber’s support. Merida then thanked Trustees Ortiz, Melendrez and Yturralde for their work and congratulated the Board on the high representation of Hispanics on the Board.

Serafin Calvo – spoke on Item VIII.A.

Mr. Calvo said the 28th and Bonanza location for the East Las Vegas Library is where he grew up and lived as a child. It is very important to him that the community receives the resources that it really needs. There are 20 schools in the area that the library will affect, elementary, junior, and high schools within a five-mile radius.

Agenda  
(Item III.)  
Trustee Wadley-Munier moved to approve the Agenda as proposed. There was no opposition and the motion carried.

Approval of Proposed Minutes Regular Session,  
(Item IV.)  
Trustee Wadley-Munier moved to approve the Minutes of the Board of Trustees Meeting held September 10, 2015. There was no opposition and the motion carried.
Chair Saunders presented a gift of bookends to Trustee Keiba Crear, who served as Board Chair for two terms, July 2013-June 2014 and July 2014-June 2015. Saunders described Library District accomplishments during Crear’s two terms:

- Received the National Medal for Museum and Library Service, the nation’s highest honor conferred on museums and libraries for service, which was awarded by the Institute of Museum and Library Services in May 2014
- Chaired the Board during the recruitment and selection process, and eventual hiring of the current District’s Executive Director Dr. Ron Heezen in June 2014
- Added an additional evening hour of service back to the urban branches
- Established four Family Place Libraries in the Clark County, Las Vegas, Whitney and Windmill branches
- Opened Teen spaces in Sahara West and Spring Valley Libraries
- Operated TechArt Studios in the Centennial Hills, Clark County, Enterprise, Sahara West, Summerlin and West Las Vegas Libraries
- Remodeled the Sunrise Library to increase space for services to children
- Began planning for the District’s next Strategic Service Plan, Vision 2020
- Concluded an agreement with the city of Las Vegas to sell the Las Vegas Library building and exchange the land upon which it sits with another city-owned parcel to better serve the residents of east Las Vegas
- Began negotiations with the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority for a land exchange in East Las Vegas

There was a round of applause.

Trustee Crear then presented flowers to Executive Assistant Allison Boyer. Crear said Ms. Boyer made it easy for Crear to serve as Chair. Chair Saunders then echoed Crear’s comments about Boyer’s work in assisting the Board and Executive Director. Boyer thanked Ms. Crear and said it was a great pleasure to work with everyone.

Chair Saunders then reported on the great honor of speaking at the recent District Banned Books Week event held at the Clark County Library. He is looking forward to speaking on a panel with Dr. Heezen at the Nevada Library Association Conference next week regarding Trustee and Executive Director interactions and relationships.
Trustee Crear commented on last week’s Staff Development Day, saying it was an amazing event. It has been a number of years since the District has held the event and Crear is happy Dr. Heezen brought it back. Crear was very impressed with the Vision 2020 group and their presentation. She felt that the District has a very talented group of staff. Crear reported that the people at her table were enthralled, not only by the presentation, but by all the other activities.

Chair Saunders also had the opportunity to attend part of Staff Day. He believed in its value to further the excellence in the District and thinks it very important for all employees to have the chance to get to know other District employees in such a setting.

Executive Director’s Report
(Item VII.A.)

Executive Director Dr. Heezen pointed out several items from his most recent For the Record, issued on the meeting date.

- The District celebrated the opening of the new CALL literacy center just prior to the meeting. The center reinforces the District’s position in the community to support lifelong learning as well as boosting the District’s communities through job-related services. Staff will be expanding these programs throughout the District.

- Dr. Heezen is very pleased to welcome Sherry Walker, who will be assisting Development and Planning Director Danielle Milam. As Trustees know, grants are becoming more and more essential to achieve the District’s goals. Ms. Walker brings remarkable talents in that area.

- Staff has completed interviews for the two open positions on the Executive Council. After meeting with the candidates for the Branding and Marketing position, the Executive Council (EC) met and said they did not think any of the candidates had exactly what was needed to fill the position. The position description is being rewritten and the search will be reopened. Currently, Karen Bramwell, the District Public Relations Manager, has been doing an excellent job, but she has a very full plate. For the Community Engagement position, staff completed interviews with the three finalists selected by the Bradburys and are still discussing the strengths and weaknesses of each. Each candidate interviewed with three different staff groups and none of the three groups agreed on a finalist. Staff will continue to discuss and see about coming to an agreement, so the person who is chosen feels supported when they come on board.

- Trustees were invited to attend the VIP Reception for the Vegas Valley Book Festival opening night at the Clark County Library, with nationally-known author Brad Meltzer as the keynote speaker. This event will take place next week and responses are recommended.

- Dr. Heezen, noting that the District’s book circulation is flat while digital circulation is rapidly increasing, commented on a recent article in the Las Vegas Review-Journal. The article reported that, "booksellers, once fearing the worst, are relieved by a digital sale slowdown.” Heezen said that staff needs to be prepared through the strategic planning process for what the public is asking from libraries. Heezen saluted staff involved in the Vision 2020 process, as well as in
the programming for the East Las Vegas and Mesquite Libraries for their work with the community.

- Heezen provided copies of the new CALL brochure to Trustees, reminding them that the program name has changed from Computer Assisted Literacy in Libraries to Community Adult Learning in Libraries. He says the name change more completely reflects the range of programs and services available. He saluted the CALL group for all of their work.

Trustee Crear asked if staff were pleased with the Community Engagement candidates. Dr. Heezen said all three candidates were outstanding and staff is discussing carefully who would best serve the District.

Trustee Bilbray-Axelrod asked if the Bradbury group was on a long-term contract. Dr. Heezen explained that they were being used for the Branding and Marketing as well as the Community Engagement position. For the Branding and Marketing positions, staff used the firm to increase the candidate pool and do some initial interviews. The candidates were interviewed by District staff and all brought strengths. However, there are not many Branding and Marketing positions in libraries. Libraries comparable to the District are all asking about what is needed in this type of position.

Library Reports
(Item VII.)
Trustee Moulton had a comment on the Information Technology Report (shown in that section). Moulton then moved to accept Reports VI.A.1-3. There was no opposition and the reports were accepted.

Library Operations, Security Reports (August & September) and Monthly Statistics (June and July) (Item VII.A.1.a.)
No questions.

Branding and Marketing Report and Virtual Library Trends (June/Electronic Resources Statistics (July) (Item VII.A.2.a.)
No questions.

Community Engagement Report (Item VII.A.2.b.)
No questions.

Development and Planning Report (Item VII.A.2.c.)
No questions.
Trustee Moulton drew attention to an item in the Information Technology Report about staff working together to find a way to help middle schoolers, who are being provided iPads by their schools, print information directly from their devices. She praised staff for seeing the problem and addressing it and commented that those of us with resources such as Wi-Fi forget how many students do not have access to that and how important it is.

Financial Services Report (separate reports for August & September) (Item VII.A.3.a.)

No questions.

General Services Report (Item VII.A.3.b.)

No questions.

Human Resources Report (separate reports for August & September) (Item VII.A.3.c.)

No questions.

Unfinished Business (Item VII.)

None.

Discussion and possible Board action regarding approval of the building design for the East Las Vegas Library and authorization to proceed with design. (Item VIII.A.)

General Services Director Steve Rice introduced the item. In May 2015, the team of Pugsley.Simpson.Coulter.Architects and Margaret Sullivan Studio (PSC/MSS) was contracted to develop the building program for the East Las Vegas Library. The site for the new library is tentatively located at N. 28th Street and Sunrise Avenue (Sunrise) although it is anticipated that the final site will be located at N. 28th Street and E. Bonanza Road (Bonanza). The building program indicates a targeted 35,000 SF building with program growth options indicating a 46,000 SF or 55,000 SF building. The program makes recommendations for space, equipment, collections, technology, and furnishings and is intended to be a framework for the delivery of services.

The program document represents a thorough and in-depth four-month programming and community engagement process that involved a series of informative stakeholder focus group sessions that included active community members and organizations, political representatives, District Trustees and staff.

The building program will be provided to the architectural firm selected to design the project and will serve as a guide during the design process. It is intended that the programming team will work with the architectural team through the design development phase to ensure the integrity of the building program is maintained.
Mr. Rice introduced Sean Coulter of PSC to discuss the program in more detail.

Mr. Coulter thanked Trustees and said that he and PSC/MSS staff were very excited to work on the building program for the East Las Vegas Library. Each Trustee had been provided with a draft program book. Coulter said that the vision for the library was a place where family and culture can thrive. He was thrilled that over 130 community members participated in meetings to discuss their ideas and vision for this new library.

Mr. Coulter discussed how PSC/MSS staff created the program for the East Las Vegas Library. District staff and community representatives were asked about who they saw as users, what they envisioned as user needs, and the activities and programs that users wanted to see taking place at this location.

Mr. Coulter commented that information from the community was very consistent, even with a very diverse group. Users will run the gamut from seniors to their grandchildren and everyone in between. The users will need a variety of activities to meet the needs of beginning learners, digital interests, Wi-Fi access, senior groups, English language assistance, job search activities, homework help programs, sports and dancing, social services and many other activities. Many community partners were identified such as the College of Southern Nevada, local authors, community theater and dance groups as well as local schools.

There were many needs for programs and cultural activities to represent the diverse community. Many community members stressed the need for a community plaza feel for the location, with a gathering point for the community, areas for interactive teen spaces, places for families to gather and areas to access social services information.

After the community input, PSC/MSS staff and District staff held a workshop with participants separated into five different groups who each wrote their own story around the community comments. Mr. Coulter said that the workshop helped to gel the input from the community into real space ideas. After the workshop, PSC/MSS staff then worked to complete the enumerated program, which he described as a process of funnelling down the many ideas (Mr. Coulter emphasized that ideas are free) into a realistic building plan. He explained that what Trustees were reviewing was a draft program.

Mr. Coulter went on to say that the PSC/MSS team came up with three options for the building plan. Option One comes out at close to 36,000 SF, was a plan that included the minimal requirements to meet the vision expressed earlier. Option Two came out at close to 46,000 SF and is the recommended option of staff and the team. Option Three comes out close to 54,000 SF and includes everything the District could have if there was an unlimited budget.

Mr. Coulter went through the program given to the Trustees showing how each area is broken down into several different zones with square footage requirements and maximum public capacity for each of the
three options. The program document also provides different visualizations for each space in each option. There are different zones.

- Zone 1 – Hang Out space, which can also be described as the community gathering area. This will include a multipurpose room, branch welcome area, info stations, maker spaces and storytelling spaces. It is flexible space for the different types of activities the community envisions as occurring in such an area.

- Zone 2 – Geek Out space. This is for studying, computer labs, a recording studio, collaboration areas and CALL classroom and study spaces. Coulter mentioned how emphatic the community was that space was needed for the types of programs staff realized were provided by CALL.

- Zone 3 – Collections. Coulter said that PSC/MSS has determined that current public library spaces have approximately 30% of their footprint taken up with collections such as books, DVDs and other traditional library materials.

- Zone 4 – Administration and Support. These are spaces for staff and back-office needs.

Mr. Coulter said that, looking at the needs and wishes of the community and balancing all the issues, PSC/MSS proposes that the second option, with close to 46,000 SF, is the appropriate size for the neighborhood and one that allows for the most flexibility with the least amount of wasted space. He thought that the District could make Option One work, but programming for the space would involve a lot of juggling by the staff. He believed Option Two is the best option for the East Las Vegas Library. To wrap up, Mr. Coulter said that everything heard by the team from the neighborhood pointed to the fact that the community REALLY wants and needs this library.

Mr. Coulter also said there were a lot of people to thank and most of the community members who participated were listed in the program book as well as members of Councilman Coffin’s staff. He said he was excited about the project and looked forward to questions.

All Trustees participated with comments and questions. Trustees were excited and complimented the team on their thorough approach to the project. The topics Trustees focused on were:

- Space: was the proposed Option Two large enough? Trustees Bilbray-Axelrod, Wadley-Munier, Melendrez, Moulton and Francis Drake had questions that focused on whether the space would serve the community both now and in the future. Several Trustees wondered if Option Two was too small for the future. Trustees focused on the growing and diverse community in East Las Vegas and wanted to ensure that they were being properly served. Trustee Ence asked whether Option Two was more of a wish list from the community. Trustee Moulton asked whether the East Las Vegas Library would focus on the community or whether a District-wide program would be based there, consuming a large amount of the proposed square footage. She also asked about
comparisons with other District libraries, such as the Centennial Hills and Windmill libraries.

- Programming: should the building include a theater? Trustees Bilbray-Axelrod and Yturralde felt strongly about including a theater, noting the lack of options in the neighborhood. Trustee Moulton felt that if the decision was made not to include a theater, then the meeting space should be flexible enough to accommodate a portable stage for theatrical productions as she believed these were so important to patrons. Several Trustees commented that flexible meeting space was vital to the area, due to the large and diverse populations and lack of alternatives.

- Infrastructure needs: does the space have flexibility for new technology? Trustees Bilbray-Axelrod, Wadley-Munier and Yturralde wanted to ensure the building remained flexible to future technology changes, noting the attraction to current users and those potential users the District wants to attract. They also emphasized that change is continuous in this area and needs could change in as short as one to five years.

- Staffing: concerns about staffing that would be different from other District locations due to changing needs in demographics and programming. Trustee Crear wanted to make sure that the District gets their arms around these changes and is not caught up in something with which the District is not prepared to deal.

- Money: concerns about budget issues with the expanded size and cost from the original planning. Trustees Crear, Wadley-Munier, Moulton, Ence, and Ortiz commented extensively on this area. Trustee Crear cautioned staff that the budget has ballooned to a very large number. She noted that the District still has not resolved the theater space issues in the rest of the District. Trustee Wadley-Munier also expressed her concern, but noted that this project is too long overdue for the people in the area.

- Partners: Trustee Wadley-Munier asked that the city of Las Vegas be listed as a potential partner and Trustee Melendrez asked that UNLV be listed as well.

Trustee Bilbray-Axelrod asked that planners figure out some way that material returns could be deposited directly into the building, noting that in the area’s summer heat, it is impossible to return DVDs to the existing outside return sites that staff have then to retrieve them during the day.

Trustee Yturralde asked about plans for the outdoor spaces at the building. Mr. Coulter explained that one of the things the program includes is a community plaza area that would feature weekend activities such as a farmer’s market. They felt that a welcoming outdoor space was a critical part of the building program. Mr. Rice noted that outdoor space at the Bonanza site, which the District is looking to acquire from the Housing Authority, would be ideal to create the outdoor space the program envisions.
Mr. Rice explained that the original budget number and building size was based upon the previous buildings such as the Centennial Hills Library and the Windmill Library and did not include the outcomes of discussions with the community and staff. Once staff and the team started their discussions, it became obvious that, to include the different services the community requested and the programs desired, that the program scope would need to increase. Rice pointed to two main areas that increased the size and scope.

First, Mr. Rice and Mr. Coulter explained that there was an enormous amount of discussion that went into determining the type and size of the meeting room spaces. In the initial scenario, Rice explained that staff had included a basic size meeting room such as in many current branches. After discussion with the community and staff, the team realized that more space and flexibility was needed. Fixed seat theaters do not always apply the flexibility required by patrons, whereas the space in Option Two, which will serve 300 people, can also be divided into smaller meeting room spaces as well as be fitted out with portable sound and lighting systems and a portable stage to enable some theatrical performances.

In addition, as staff realized that the number of services desired by the community representatives encompassed many CALL offerings, staff made the decision to consolidate CALL services at the new East Las Vegas Library, instead of the Clark County Library, due to the demand from this particular area. Making the East Las Vegas Library the home base would provide the required staffing and central support needed in this area of high demand.

Mr. Rice said that the cost of Option Two would add $3 million to the original budget number while Option Three would add another $3 million beyond that. He also noted that both the East Las Vegas and Mesquite Libraries are planned to be new models for future libraries, and that these buildings and the Vision 2020 plan will guide staff when making changes to current libraries, the elements of these new models will be incorporated into the Facilities Master Plan.

Trustee Ortiz wrapped up the discussion by thanking Trustees for their participation in the process, complimenting them for bringing both the East Las Vegas and Mesquite Library projects forward. He acknowledged that there is a budget and he said he is very conscious of the money available to complete these projects. He believed that the larger meeting room space option is the more flexible and cost-conscious option.

Trustee Ortiz also talked about sustainable salaries and space and he does not believe that Option Three is sustainable for the District. He said, based upon his discussions and research, that CTX revenue plus revenue from property taxes, will give the District a five to six year run of income that can be used to complete the East Las Vegas and Mesquite projects in the way that these communities will use and be sustainable in terms of salaries and programming for the District. He challenged the Trustees to move forward and create the library of the future and he asked for Trustee support in favor of the motion. He wanted to focus on finishing these projects so that the District can
revisit issues that came up during the recent financial downturn, pay bills and meet the District's communities needs.

Trustee Ortiz moved to approve the building program for the East Las Vegas Library and to authorize staff to proceed with design. There was no opposition and the motion carried.

Trustee Melendrez left the meeting at this time.

Discussion and possible Board action regarding selection of architectural firms to design the East Las Vegas and Mesquite libraries and contract award to provide architectural services for the design of the East Las Vegas Library. (Item VIII.B.)

Mr. Rice stated that a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for Architectural Services for projects started from September 1, 2015, through August 31, 2019, was advertised in the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the community-based minority newspapers. The RFQ notice was also faxed to all minority Chambers of Commerce and to architectural firms listed in the Clark County and city of Las Vegas MBE, WBE, SBE, and PBE business directories. In accordance with NRS 332.115, professional services are exempt from competitive bidding. Additionally, in accordance with NRS 625.530, the selection of a registered architect must be made on the basis of the competence and qualifications of the architect and not on the basis of competitive fees.

A total of thirteen submittals were received. The Executive Council served as the review committee and conducted a thorough review of the submittals received. Based on the information provided in the submittals, all thirteen firms were selected to be placed on a list to be considered for projects as they are identified and budgeted from September 1, 2015, through August 31, 2019. Mr. Rice said he was very pleased with the response. All are local firms and met the basic criteria to work for the District. All different levels of experience and qualifications are represented.

Of the thirteen firms who submitted, eight firms were selected for further consideration to provide architectural services for the East Las Vegas and Mesquite Libraries and were invited to make a presentation regarding the firm and proposed team. Firm representatives were also required to respond to a series of interview questions.

Following the interviews, the review committee met to evaluate and identify the two prospective firms it believed is best qualified to design the East Las Vegas and Mesquite Libraries. The review committee considered each firms’ staff size/capacity, design experience, public project experience, public library design experience, qualifications of their proposed team, quality and relevance of presentation content and interview question responses, and other pertinent factors relevant when evaluating the firms for the projects.

Public library experience was considered an important aspect of overall design capability and ranked high in the evaluation. The review committee also paid critical attention to how important it is that the firms selected have the capacity to work with the District in a manner that can expedite the design and completion of construction documents in a timely manner.

The review committee recommends the following design teams be approved for each respective project:
1. Carpenter Sellers Del Gatto Architects/richärd+bauer – East Las Vegas Library. Mr. Rice introduced Mike Del Gatto, representing the team.


At this time, the review committee is only bringing forward a recommendation for contract award for design of the East Las Vegas Library. A recommendation for contract award for design of the Mesquite Library will be presented at the November 12 meeting of the Board of Trustees, after completion of the building program and fee negotiations.

The project team of Carpenter Sellers Del Gatto Architects/richärd+bauer is proposed for the East Las Vegas Library. Carpenter Sellers Del Gatto Architects is a local firm that has 28 years in business. They focus on public works projects and have received numerous design awards for their work. richärd+bauer is a national design firm based in Phoenix, Arizona that has 19 years in business. They specialize in public library and higher education work and have received numerous design awards.

The contract scope of work includes providing basic architectural services including schematic design, design development, construction documents, bidding, construction contract administration, and project closeout for a 46,000 SF library on either a 6 or 8.5 acre site. Mr. Rice said that the team will be working on both the Sunrise and Bonanza sites at least through the schematic design phase until a decision is made by the Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority and the Department of Housing and Urban Development on the Bonanza site. There is a cost associated with that decision, but staff feels it is important to stay ahead of the design schedule.

Additional services proposed include building program verification (working with the building program consultant team through the design development phase) and interior design to include the selection of, and specifications for, the bidding of furniture and shelving.

Mr. Rice said they are asking for two separate decisions:

- Selection of the architectural teams for the East Las Vegas Library and for the Mesquite Library
- Approve a contract, with a not to exceed (NTE) cost of $1.9 million, to design the East Las Vegas Library.

Mr. Rice said staff are still working with the proposed architect and team to identify all elements of this contract. The selection process was concluded a week ago and that is not enough time to drill into and refine the scope of work for the two teams and their consultants. Part of the complication is needing to design two sites, at least through the schematic design phase. This is a two- to three-month process and staff does not think the District will have a final decision on the Bonanza site for several weeks or months. The city of Las Vegas is making good progress on the exchange negotiations, but no final
decision has been made. Staff still need to work out these details and how much it is going to cost. Approving a NTE amount will allow staff to finalize the agreement.

Trustee Moulton expressed her concern about working on two separate sites for two to three months while the District waits for a decision on the final site. She asked about the cost of doing so. Mr. Rice said the cost could be $250,000-$300,000. Moulton reiterated her concern at spending that amount of money. Rice understood, saying he is hoping the city is able to conclude its negotiations with the SNRHA sooner rather than later, so as to minimize the expense. But, to delay design work up to three months will push the District behind schedule. There is an agreement with the city of Las Vegas that contains contractual milestones on vacating the current building. Staff has been aware of this since the District identified the Bonanza site. The decision on this site was not going to be made until the end of December. Moulton said she has an issue with the cost.

In response to a question from Trustee Crear, Counsel Welt said he and construction counsel will review the contract prior to approval.

Trustee Ortiz moved to approve, in accordance with RFQ No. 16-02, selection of the teams of Carpenter Sellers Del Gatto Architects/richärd+bauer to provide architectural services for the East Las Vegas Library and Pugsley.Simpson.Coulter. Architects/Margaret Sullivan Studio to provide architectural services for the Mesquite Library. Initially, Trustee Moulton opposed the motion, but once Mr. Rice clarified that the motion was to select the architects, not approve the contract cost, she changed her vote to approve the motion. There was no opposition and the motion carried.

Trustee Ortiz moved to authorize staff to award a contract to provide architectural services for design of the East Las Vegas Library to the team of Carpenter Sellers Del Gatto Architects/richärd+bauer in the amount NTE $1.9 million plus authorized reimbursable expenses, subject to final review by Counsel. Chair Saunders, Trustees Ence, Yturralde, Bilbray-Axelrod, Crear, Francis Drake, Wadley-Munier and Ortiz voted to approve. Trustee Moulton voted to oppose. The motion carried 8-1.

**Announcements**

(Item IX.)

A reception before the opening event of the Vegas Valley Book Festival will be held on Thursday, October 15, 2015, at the Clark County Library from 5:30-7:00 p.m. The opening event will be author Brad Meltzer.

The next Finance and Audit Committee Meeting will be held Thursday, November 12, 2015, at the Enterprise Library, 25 E. Shelbourne Ave., Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 at 6:00 p.m.

Trustee Crear requested an item be placed on the Finance and Audit Committee agenda. She would like Deputy Director/CFO Fred James to update his budget projections. Crear has heard that CTX revenue is going up, but with some of the changes in project costs, she would feel more comfortable with updated numbers. Mr. James will add her request to the agenda.
The next Board Meeting will be held Thursday, November 12, 2015, in the Enterprise Library, 25 E. Shelbourne Ave., Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 at 6:30 p.m.

Public Comment (Item XI.)

Jacki Ramirez signed up but was no longer at the meeting when the name was called.

James Kimsey –

Mr. Kimsey said he was at the meeting to say thank you. There was an issue with the Shriners Hospital for Children golf tournament parking, due to construction at Summerlin TPC. The issue has been resolved. He also wanted to invite members of the Board to the tournament. Kimsey briefly explained his involvement with Shriners. The tournament is run almost entirely by over 1,000 volunteers and is the only PGA event of its kind. The money raised can then go directly to the Shriners hospitals, including the local clinic that sees children for free. He said that more than 80,000 local children have been treated by the Shriners in their clinics. If Trustees have questions about the Shriners or the tournament, he will be happy to answer them and will provide his contact information.

Chair Saunders thanked Mr. Kimsey for his comments and said he was glad the issue had been resolved.

Executive Session (Item XII.)

Removed from Agenda.

Adjournment (Item XIII.)

Chair Saunders adjourned the meeting at 7:26 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Ydoleena Yturralde, Secretary
## 2015 ATTENDANCE

### Appendix A

**October 8, 2015 Regular Board Meeting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015</th>
<th>January 8 Regular Board Mtg</th>
<th>February 12 Regular Board Mtg</th>
<th>March 12 Nominating Committee Mtg</th>
<th>March 12 Regular Board Mtg</th>
<th>April 9 Finance and Audit Cmte</th>
<th>April 9 Regular Board Mtg</th>
<th>May 19 Bylaws Cmte Mtg</th>
<th>May 21 Regular Board Mtg</th>
<th>June 11 Nominating Cmte</th>
<th>June 11 Regular Board Mtg</th>
<th>July 9 Regular Board Mtg</th>
<th>August 13 Purchasing Policy Committee</th>
<th>September 10 Regular Board Mtg</th>
<th>October 8 Regular Board Mtg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benavidez*</td>
<td>Kelly</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ence</td>
<td>Randy</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melendrez**</td>
<td>Jose</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>A-E</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moulton</td>
<td>Sheila</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yturralde</td>
<td>Ydoleena</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benavidez*</th>
<th>Term expired March 6, 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilbray-Axelrod</td>
<td>Appointed for a term beginning March 6, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ence</td>
<td>attended Committee meeting but not a member</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A-E Excused Absence  
A-U Unexcused Absence

as of October 9, 2015